

PharmLabs San Diego Certificate of Analysis

3421 Hancock St, Second Floor, San Diego, CA 92110 | License: C8-000098-LIC
ISO/IEC 17025:2017 Acc. L17-427-1 #85368



Sample **Loose Change - Blueberry Lemon Diesel**

| | | | |
|-------------------|---|----------|---------------------------------------|
| Sample ID | SD240220-008 (91256) | Matrix | Concentrate (Inhalable Cannabis Good) |
| Tested for | Call Extrax | | |
| Sampled | - | Received | Feb 19, 2024 |
| Analyses executed | CANX, RES, MIBIG, MTO, PES, HME, FVI, D9C | Reported | Feb 22, 2024 |

Summary D9C: The total Δ9-THC content in this sample is 0.00%. For the most accurate Δ9-THC concentration, refer to the GC MS/MS section of this COA. This sample was tested using HPLC and GC MS/MS. HPLC analysis can yield inconsistent results for Δ8-THC and Δ9-THC due to isomer interference. GC MS/MS was employed to avoid this issue. Please note, if THCa is present, the Δ9-THC level measured by GC MS/MS might be higher due to decarboxylation.

D9C - D9 Confirmation Analysis

Analyzed Feb 21, 2024 | Instrument GC MS/MS | Method SOP-D9C
The expanded Uncertainty of the analysis is approximately ±7.806% at the 95% Confidence Level

| Analyte | LOD mg/g | LOQ mg/g | Result % | Result mg/g |
|----------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|-------------|
| Δ9-Tetrahydrocannabinol (Δ9-THC) | 0.387 | 1.174 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

CANX - Cannabinoids Analysis

Analyzed Feb 21, 2024 | Instrument HPLC-VWD | Method SOP-001
The expanded Uncertainty of the Cannabinoid analysis is approximately ±7.806% at the 95% Confidence Level

| Analyte | LOD mg/g | LOQ mg/g | Result % | Result mg/g |
|---|----------|----------|----------|-------------|
| 11-Hydroxy-Δ8-Tetrahydrocannabinol (11-Hyd-Δ8-THCV) | 0.013 | 0.041 | ND | ND |
| Cannabidiol (CBD) | 0.002 | 0.007 | ND | ND |
| Abnormal Cannabidiol (a-CBD) | 0.01 | 0.031 | ND | ND |
| (+/-)-9B-hydroxy-Hexahydrocannabinol (9b-HHC) | 0.012 | 0.036 | ND | ND |
| 11-Hydroxy-Δ8-Tetrahydrocannabinol (11-Hyd-Δ8-THC) | 0.007 | 0.021 | ND | ND |
| Cannabidiolic Acid (CBDA) | 0.001 | 0.16 | ND | ND |
| Cannabigerol Acid (CBGA) | 0.001 | 0.16 | ND | ND |
| Cannabigerol (CBG) | 0.001 | 0.16 | 0.15 | 1.50 |
| Cannabidiol (CBD) | 0.001 | 0.16 | 2.06 | 20.57 |
| Δ8-THC (s-THC) | 0.013 | 0.041 | ND | ND |
| Δ8-THC (r-THC) | 0.025 | 0.075 | ND | ND |
| Tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) | 0.001 | 0.16 | 0.07 | 0.73 |
| Δ8-tetrahydrocannabinol (Δ8-THCV) | 0.021 | 0.064 | 0.58 | 5.85 |
| Cannabidiol (CBDH) | 0.005 | 0.16 | ND | ND |
| Tetrahydrocannabinol (Δ9-THCB) | 0.013 | 0.038 | ND | ND |
| Cannabinol (CBN) | 0.001 | 0.16 | 3.70 | 37.02 |
| Cannabidiol (CBDP) | 0.015 | 0.047 | ND | ND |
| exo-THC (exo-THC) | 0.005 | 0.16 | ND | ND |
| Tetrahydrocannabinol (Δ9-THC) | 0.003 | 0.16 | 0.64 | 6.43 |
| Δ8-tetrahydrocannabinol (Δ8-THC) | 0.004 | 0.16 | 51.73 | 517.29 |
| (6aR,9S)-Δ10-Tetrahydrocannabinol ((6aR,9S)-Δ10) | 0.015 | 0.16 | ND | ND |
| Hexahydrocannabinol (S Isomer) (9s-HHC) | 0.017 | 0.16 | ND | ND |
| (6aR,9R)-Δ10-Tetrahydrocannabinol ((6aR,9R)-Δ10) | 0.007 | 0.16 | ND | ND |
| Hexahydrocannabinol (R Isomer) (9r-HHC) | 0.016 | 0.16 | ND | ND |
| Tetrahydrocannabinol (THCA) | 0.001 | 0.16 | ND | ND |
| Δ9-Tetrahydrocannabinol (Δ9-THCH) | 0.024 | 0.071 | ND | ND |
| Cannabinol Acetate (CBNO) | 0.014 | 0.043 | 0.46 | 4.64 |
| Δ9-Tetrahydrocannabinol (Δ9-THCP) | 0.017 | 0.16 | 0.57 | 5.69 |
| Δ8-Tetrahydrocannabinol (Δ8-THCP) | 0.041 | 0.16 | 2.29 | 22.90 |
| Cannabicitran (CBT) | 0.005 | 0.16 | 0.56 | 5.60 |
| Δ8-THC-O-acetate (Δ8-THCO) | 0.076 | 0.16 | ND | ND |
| 9(S)-HHCP (s-HHCP) | 0.031 | 0.094 | ND | ND |
| Δ9-THC-O-acetate (Δ9-THCO) | 0.066 | 0.16 | ND | ND |
| 9(R)-HHCP (r-HHCP) | 0.026 | 0.079 | ND | ND |
| 9(S)-HHC-O-acetate (s-HHCO) | 0.005 | 0.16 | ND | ND |
| 9(R)-HHC-O-acetate (r-HHCO) | 0.008 | 0.025 | ND | ND |
| 3-octyl-Δ8-Tetrahydrocannabinol (Δ8-THC-C8) | 0.067 | 0.204 | ND | ND |
| Total THC (THCa + Δ8THC + Δ9THC) | | | 0.64 | 6.43 |
| Total THC + Δ8THC + Δ10THC (THCa + 0.877 + Δ9THC + Δ8THC + Δ10THC) | | | 52.37 | 523.72 |
| Total CBD (CBDA + 0.877 + CBD) | | | 2.06 | 20.57 |
| Total CBG (CBGA + 0.877 + CBG) | | | 0.15 | 1.50 |
| Total HHC (9r-HHC + 9s-HHC) | | | ND | ND |
| Total Cannabinoids Analyzed | | | 62.82 | 628.22 |

HME - Heavy Metals Analysis

Analyzed Feb 20, 2024 | Instrument ICP/MSMS | Method SOP-005

| Analyte | LOD ug/g | LOQ ug/g | Result ug/g | Limit ug/g |
|--------------|----------|----------|-------------|------------|
| Arsenic (As) | 0.0009 | 0.0027 | ND | 1.5 |
| Cadmium (Cd) | 0.0005 | 0.0015 | 0.00 | 0.5 |
| Mercury (Hg) | 0.0058 | 0.0174 | ND | 3 |
| Lead (Pb) | 0.0006 | 0.0018 | ND | 0.5 |
| Nickel (Ni) | 6.0e-05 | 0.0002 | NT | |

UJ Unidentified
ND Not Detected
N/A Not Applicable
NT Not Reported
LOD Limit of Detection
LOQ Limit of Quantification
<LOQ Detected
>ULOL Above upper limit of linearity
CFU/g Colony Forming Units per 1 gram
TNTC Too Numerous to Count



Scan the QR code to verify authenticity.

Authorized Signature

Brandon Starr

Brandon Starr, Lab Manager
Thu, 22 Feb 2024 11:50:05 -0800

PharmLabs San Diego | 3421 Hancock St, Second Floor, San Diego, CA 92110 | 619.356.0898 | ISO/IEC 17025:2017 Acc. L17-427-1

*This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of the lab. This report is for informational purposes only and should not be used to diagnose, treat or prevent any disease. Results are only for samples and batches indicated. Results are reported on an "as received" basis, unless indicated otherwise. When a Pass/Fail status is reported, that status is intended to be in accordance with federal, state and local laws which are required for the customer to be in compliance. The measurement of uncertainty is not included in the Pass/Fail evaluation unless explicitly required by federal, state or local laws and has been reported on the certificate of analysis. Measurement of uncertainty is available upon request.



MIBIG - Microbial Analysis

Analyzed Feb 22, 2024 | Instrument qPCR and/or Plating | Method SOP-007

| Analyte | LOD | LOQ | Result CFU/g | Limit | Analyte | LOD | LOQ | Result CFU/g | Limit |
|--|-----|-----|-----------------|---------------|---------------------|-----|-----|-----------------|---------------|
| Shiga toxin-producing Escherichia Coli | | | ND | ND per 1 gram | Salmonella spp. | | | ND | ND per 1 gram |
| Aspergillus fumigatus | | | ND | ND per 1 gram | Aspergillus flavus | | | ND | ND per 1 gram |
| Aspergillus niger | | | ND | ND per 1 gram | Aspergillus terreus | | | ND | ND per 1 gram |

MTO - Mycotoxin Analysis

Analyzed Feb 22, 2024 | Instrument LC/MSMS | Method SOP-004

| Analyte | LOD ug/kg | LOQ ug/kg | Result ug/kg (ppb) | Limit ug/kg | Analyte | LOD ug/kg | LOQ ug/kg | Result ug/kg (ppb) | Limit ug/kg |
|--------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------------|----------------|------------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------------|----------------|
| Ochratoxin A | 5.0 | 20.0 | ND | 20 | Aflatoxin B1 | 2.5 | 5.0 | ND | - |
| Aflatoxin B2 | 2.5 | 5.0 | ND | - | Aflatoxin G1 | 2.5 | 5.0 | ND | - |
| Aflatoxin G2 | 2.5 | 5.0 | ND | - | Total Aflatoxins | 10.0 | 20.0 | ND | 20 |

UI Unidentified
 ND Not Detected
 N/A Not Applicable
 NT Not Reported
 LOD Limit of Detection
 LOQ Limit of Quantification
 <LOQ Detected
 >ULOL Above upper limit of linearity
 CFU/g Colony Forming Units per 1 gram
 TNTC Too Numerous to Count



Scan the QR code to verify authenticity.

Authorized Signature

Brandon Starr

Brandon Starr, Lab Manager
 Thu, 22 Feb 2024 11:50:05 -0800

PharmLabs San Diego | 3421 Hancock St, Second Floor, San Diego, CA 92110 | 619.356.0898 | ISO/IEC 17025:2017 Acc. L17-427-1

*This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of the lab. This report is for informational purposes only and should not be used to diagnose, treat or prevent any disease. Results are only for samples and batches indicated. Results are reported on an "as received" basis, unless indicated otherwise. When a Pass/Fail status is reported, that status is intended to be in accordance with federal, state and local laws which are required for the customer to be in compliance. The measurement of uncertainty is not included in the Pass/Fail evaluation unless explicitly required by federal, state or local laws and has been reported on the certificate of analysis. Measurement of uncertainty is available upon request.

PES - Pesticides Analysis

Analyzed Feb 22, 2024 | Instrument LC/MSMS GC/MSMS | Method SOP-003

| Analyte | LOD ug/g | LOQ ug/g | Result ug/g | Limit ug/g | Analyte | LOD ug/g | LOQ ug/g | Result ug/g | Limit ug/g |
|-------------------------|----------|----------|-------------|------------|-----------------------|----------|----------|-------------|------------|
| Aldicarb | 0.0078 | 0.02 | ND | 0.0078 | Carbofuran | 0.01 | 0.02 | ND | 0.01 |
| Dimethoate | 0.01 | 0.02 | ND | 0.01 | Etofenprox | 0.02 | 0.1 | ND | 0.02 |
| Fenoxycarb | 0.01 | 0.02 | ND | 0.01 | Thiachloprid | 0.01 | 0.02 | ND | 0.01 |
| Daminozide | 0.01 | 0.03 | ND | 0.01 | Dichlorvos | 0.02 | 0.07 | ND | 0.02 |
| Imazalil | 0.02 | 0.07 | ND | 0.02 | Methiocarb | 0.01 | 0.02 | ND | 0.01 |
| Spiroxamine | 0.01 | 0.02 | ND | 0.01 | Coumaphos | 0.01 | 0.02 | ND | 0.01 |
| Fipronil | 0.01 | 0.1 | ND | 0.01 | Paclobotrazol | 0.01 | 0.03 | ND | 0.01 |
| Chlorpyrifos | 0.01 | 0.04 | ND | 0.01 | Ethoprophos (Prophos) | 0.01 | 0.02 | ND | 0.01 |
| Baygon (Propoxur) | 0.01 | 0.02 | ND | 0.01 | Chlordane | 0.04 | 0.1 | ND | 0.04 |
| Chlorfenapyr | 0.03 | 0.1 | ND | 0.03 | Methyl Parathion | 0.02 | 0.1 | ND | 0.02 |
| Mevinphos | 0.03 | 0.08 | ND | 0.03 | Abamectin | 0.03 | 0.08 | ND | 0.1 |
| Acephate | 0.02 | 0.05 | ND | 0.1 | Acetamiprid | 0.01 | 0.05 | ND | 0.1 |
| Azoxystrobin | 0.01 | 0.02 | ND | 0.1 | Bifenazate | 0.01 | 0.05 | ND | 0.1 |
| Bifenthrin | 0.02 | 0.35 | ND | 3 | Boscalid | 0.01 | 0.03 | ND | 0.1 |
| Carbaryl | 0.01 | 0.02 | ND | 0.5 | Chlorantraniliprole | 0.01 | 0.04 | ND | 10 |
| Clofentezine | 0.01 | 0.03 | ND | 0.1 | Diazinon | 0.01 | 0.02 | ND | 0.1 |
| Dimethomorph | 0.02 | 0.06 | ND | 2 | Etoxazole | 0.01 | 0.05 | ND | 0.1 |
| Fenpyroximate | 0.02 | 0.1 | ND | 0.1 | Flonicamid | 0.01 | 0.02 | ND | 0.1 |
| Fludioxonil | 0.01 | 0.05 | ND | 0.1 | Hexythiazox | 0.01 | 0.03 | ND | 0.1 |
| Imidacloprid | 0.01 | 0.05 | ND | 5 | Kresoxim-methyl | 0.01 | 0.03 | ND | 0.1 |
| Malathion | 0.01 | 0.05 | ND | 0.5 | Metalaxyl | 0.01 | 0.02 | ND | 2 |
| Methomyl | 0.02 | 0.05 | ND | 1 | Myclobutanil | 0.02 | 0.07 | ND | 0.1 |
| Naled | 0.01 | 0.02 | ND | 0.1 | Oxamyl | 0.01 | 0.02 | ND | 0.5 |
| Permethrin | 0.01 | 0.02 | ND | 0.5 | Phosmet | 0.01 | 0.02 | ND | 0.1 |
| Piperonyl Butoxide | 0.02 | 0.06 | ND | 3 | Propiconazole | 0.03 | 0.08 | ND | 0.1 |
| Prallethrin | 0.02 | 0.05 | ND | 0.1 | Pyrethrin | 0.05 | 0.41 | ND | 0.5 |
| Pyridaben | 0.02 | 0.07 | ND | 0.1 | Spinosad A | 0.01 | 0.05 | ND | 0.1 |
| Spinosad D | 0.01 | 0.05 | ND | 0.1 | Spiromesifen | 0.02 | 0.06 | ND | 0.1 |
| Spirotetramat | 0.01 | 0.02 | ND | 0.1 | Tebuconazole | 0.01 | 0.02 | ND | 0.1 |
| Thiamethoxam | 0.01 | 0.02 | ND | 5 | Trifloxystrobin | 0.01 | 0.02 | ND | 0.1 |
| Acequinocyl | 0.02 | 0.09 | ND | 0.1 | Captan | 0.01 | 0.02 | ND | 0.7 |
| Cypermethrin | 0.02 | 0.1 | ND | 1 | Cyfluthrin | 0.04 | 0.1 | ND | 2 |
| Fenhexamid | 0.02 | 0.07 | ND | 0.1 | Spinetoram J.L | 0.02 | 0.07 | ND | 0.1 |
| Pentachloronitrobenzene | 0.01 | 0.1 | ND | 0.1 | | | | | |

RES - Residual Solvents Analysis

Analyzed Feb 21, 2024 | Instrument GC/FID with Headspace Analyzer | Method SOP-006


| Analyte | LOD ug/g | LOQ ug/g | Result ug/g | Limit ug/g | Analyte | LOD ug/g | LOQ ug/g | Result ug/g | Limit ug/g |
|----------------------------|----------|----------|-------------|------------|-------------------------------|----------|----------|-------------|------------|
| Propane (Prop) | 0.4 | 40.0 | ND | | Butane (But) | 0.4 | 40.0 | ND | |
| Methanol (Metha) | 0.4 | 40.0 | ND | | Ethylene Oxide (EthOx) | 0.4 | 0.8 | ND | |
| Pentane (Pen) | 0.4 | 40.0 | ND | | Ethanol (Ethanol) | 0.4 | 40.0 | ND | |
| Ethyl Ether (EthEt) | 0.4 | 40.0 | ND | | Acetone (Acet) | 0.4 | 40.0 | ND | |
| Isopropanol (2-Pro) | 0.4 | 40.0 | ND | | Acetonitrile (Acetonit) | 0.4 | 40.0 | ND | |
| Methylene Chloride (MetCh) | 0.4 | 0.8 | ND | | Hexane (Hex) | 0.4 | 40.0 | ND | |
| Ethyl Acetate (EthAc) | 0.4 | 40.0 | ND | | Chloroform (Clo) | 0.4 | 0.8 | ND | |
| Benzene (Ben) | 0.4 | 0.8 | ND | | 1,2-Dichloroethane (1,2-Dich) | 0.4 | 0.8 | ND | |
| Heptane (Hep) | 0.4 | 40.0 | ND | | Trichloroethylene (TriClEEth) | 0.4 | 0.8 | ND | |
| Toluene (Toluene) | 0.4 | 40.0 | ND | | Xylenes (Xyl) | 0.4 | 40.0 | ND | |

FVI - Filth & Foreign Material Inspection Analysis

Analyzed Feb 19, 2024 | Instrument Microscope | Method SOP-010

| Analyte / Limit | Result | Analyte / Limit | Result |
|--|--------|--|--------|
| > 1/4 of the total sample area covered by sand, soil, cinders, or dirt | ND | > 1/4 of the total sample area covered by mold | ND |
| > 1 insect fragment, 1 hair, or 1 count mammalian excreta per 3g | ND | > 1/4 of the total sample area covered by an imbedded foreign material | ND |

UJ Unidentified
 ND Not Detected
 N/A Not Applicable
 NT Not Reported
 LOD Limit of Detection
 LOQ Limit of Quantification
 <LOQ Detected
 >ULOL Above upper limit of linearity
 CFU/g Colony Forming Units per 1 gram
 TNTC Too Numerous to Count



Scan the QR code to verify authenticity.

Authorized Signature

Brandon Starr

Brandon Starr, Lab Manager
 Thu, 22 Feb 2024 11:50:05 -0800

PharmLabs San Diego | 3421 Hancock St, Second Floor, San Diego, CA 92110 | 619.356.0898 | ISO/IEC 17025:2017 Acc. L17-427-1



*This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of the lab. This report is for informational purposes only and should not be used to diagnose, treat or prevent any disease. Results are only for samples and batches indicated. Results are reported on an "as received" basis, unless indicated otherwise. When a Pass/Fail status is reported, that status is intended to be in accordance with federal, state and local laws which are required for the customer to be in compliance. The measurement of uncertainty is not included in the Pass/Fail evaluation unless explicitly required by federal, state or local laws and has been reported on the certificate of analysis. Measurement of uncertainty is available upon request.